arXiv:0705.3808v1 [math.AP] 25 May 2007

PARABOLIC EQUATIONS WITH MEASURABLE COEFFICIENTS IN L_p-SPACES WITH MIXED NORMS

DOYOON KIM

Abstract. The unique solvability of parabolic equations in Sobolev spaces with mixed norms is presented. The second order coefficients (except a^{11}) are assumed to be only measurable in time and one spatial variable, and VMO in the other spatial variables. The coefficient a^{11} is measurable in one spatial variable and VMO in the other variables.

1. Introduction

In this paper we study parabolic equations of non-divergence type in L_p-spaces with mixed norms. Specifically, we consider equations of the form

$$u_t + a^{ij}(t,x)u_{x^i x^j} + b^i(t,x)u_{x^i} + c(t,x)u = f \tag{1}$$

in $L_{q,p}((S,T)\times\mathbb{R}^d)$, $-\infty \le S < T \le \infty$, where

$$\|u\|_{L_{q,p}((S,T)\times\mathbb{R}^d)} = \left(\int_S^T \left(\int_{\mathbb{R}^d} |u(t,x)|^p \, dx\right)^{q/p} dt\right)^{1/q}.$$

We assume that the coefficients a^{ij}, $i \ne 1$ or $j \ne 1$, are only measurable in $(t,x^1)\in\mathbb{R}^2$ and VMO in $x'\in\mathbb{R}^{d-1}$, and the coefficient a^{11} is measurable in $x^1\in\mathbb{R}$ and VMO in $(t,x')\in\mathbb{R}^d$. This means, for example, that no regularity assumptions on a^{ij} are needed if a^{ij}, $i\ne 1$ or $j \ne 1$, are functions of only (t,x^1) and a^{11} is a function of only $x^1\in\mathbb{R}$. As usual, the coefficients $b^i(t,x)$ and $c(t,x)$ are assumed to be only measurable and bounded.

Under these assumptions as well as the uniform ellipticity condition on a^{ij}, we prove that, for $q > p \ge 2$ and $f \in L_{q,p}((0,T)\times\mathbb{R}^d)$, there exists a unique function $u \in W^{1,2}_{q,p}((0,T)\times\mathbb{R}^d)$ satisfying $u(T,x) = 0$ and the equation (1), where, as in the usual Sobolev spaces, $u \in W^{1,2}_{q,p}((0,T)\times\mathbb{R}^d)$ if u, u_x, u_{xx}, $u_t \in L_{q,p}((0,T)\times\mathbb{R}^d)$.

2000 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* 35K10,35R05,35A05 .

Key words and phrases. second order parabolic equations, vanishing mean oscillation, Sobolev spaces with mixed norms.

As explained in [9], one of advantages of having the $L_{q,p}$-theory for parabolic equations is that one can improve the regularity of solutions, for example, in t using embedding theorems with a large q. Indeed, one result in this paper is used in [3] to estimate the Hölder continuity of a solution to a parabolic equation. The same type of argument is also used in Lemma 5.6 of this paper.

The same or similar parabolic equations (or systems) in $L_{q,p}$-spaces (or *Sobolev spaces with mixed norms*) with *not necessarily continuous coefficients* have been dealt with, for example, in [2, 7, 9]. However, the coefficients a^{ij} there are assumed to be VMO in the spatial variables. In fact, the coefficients in [2] are VMO in $x \in \mathbb{R}^d$, but independent of $t \in \mathbb{R}$, whereas the coefficients a^{ij} in [7] are measurable functions of only t, and the coefficients a^{ij} in [9] are measurable in t and VMO in x. Since we assume in this paper that the coefficients a^{ij}, except a^{11}, are measurable in $(t, x^1) \in \mathbb{R}^2$ and VMO in $x' \in \mathbb{R}^{d-1}$, as far as coefficients a^{ij}, $i \neq 1$ or $j \neq 1$, are concerned, the class of coefficients we consider is bigger than those previously considered, but since a^{11} is measurable in $x^1 \in \mathbb{R}$ but VMO in $(t, x') \in \mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{R}^{d-1}$, we have to say that the class of coefficients a^{ij} in this paper is *different* from those, especially, in [9]. On the other hand, this paper is a continuation of the paper [9] because the results and methods in that paper enable us to deal with parabolic equations with the coefficients of this paper in the framework of *Sobolev spaces with mixed norms*.

Elliptic and parabolic equations in L_p-spaces (not $L_{q,p}$-spaces) with coefficients as in this paper are investigated in [4, 5, 3], where one can find references about equations with discontinuous coefficients as well as the non-solvability of equations with general measurable coefficients. In addition to references stated in [4, 5, 3], we refer the reader to papers [12, 10, 11, 1] for examples of differential equations which do not have unique solutions in Sobolev spaces. One can find in [13] quasilinear parabolic equations in mixed norms. For more references about parabolic (or elliptic) equations in L_p or $L_{q,p}$, see [2, 9] and references therein.

This paper consist of two parts. In the first part we solve the equation (1) in Sobolev spaces with mixed norms when the coefficients a^{ij} are measurable in $x^1 \in \mathbb{R}$ and VMO in $(t, x') \in \mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{R}^{d-1}$. This result serves as one of main steps in [3]. Then using the results in [3] as well as in the first part of this paper, we prove the main result of this paper. The first part consists of section 3 and 4; the second part consists of section 5 and 6. In section 2 we states the assumptions and the main result.

A few words about notation: We denote by (t,x) a point in $\mathbb{R}^{d+1}$, i.e., $(t,x)=(t,x^1,x')\in\mathbb{R}\times\mathbb{R}^d=\mathbb{R}^{d+1}$, where $t\in\mathbb{R}$, $x^1\in\mathbb{R}$, $x'\in\mathbb{R}^{d-1}$, and $x=(x^1,x')\in\mathbb{R}^d$. By $u_{x'}$ we mean, depending on the context, one of u_{x^j}, $i=2,\cdots,d$, or the whole collection $\{u_{x^2},\cdots,u_{x^d}\}$. As usual, u_x represents one of u_{x^i}, $i=1,\cdots,d$, or the whole collection of $\{u_{x^1},\cdots,u_{x^d}\}$. Thus $u_{xx'}$ is one of $u_{x^ix^j}$, where $i\in\{1,\cdots,d\}$ and $j\in\{2,\cdots,d\}$, or the collection of them. For a function $u(t,x)$ defined on $\mathbb{R}^{d+1}$ (or a subset in $\mathbb{R}^{d+1}$), the average of u over an open set $\mathcal{D}\subset\mathbb{R}^{d+1}$ is denoted by $(u)_{\mathcal{D}}$, i.e.,

$$(u)_{\mathcal{D}}=\frac{1}{|\mathcal{D}|}\int_{\mathcal{D}}u(t,x)\,dx\,dt=\fint_{\mathcal{D}}u(t,x)\,dx\,dt,$$

where $|\mathcal{D}|$ is the $d+1$-dimensional volume of $\mathcal{D}$. Finally, various constants are denoted by N, their values may vary from one occurrence to another. We write $N(d,\delta,\dots)$ if N depends only on d, δ, ...

Acknowledgement: I would like to thank Nicolai V. Krylov for giving me an opportunity to learn and use his results in [9] before its publication.

2. Main result

We consider the parabolic equation (1) with coefficients a^{ij}, b^i, and c satisfying the following assumption.

Assumption 2.1. The coefficients a^{ij}, b^i, and c are measurable functions defined on $\mathbb{R}^{d+1}$, $a^{ij}=a^{ji}$. There exist positive constants $\delta\in(0,1)$ and K such that

$$|b^i(t,x)|\le K,\quad |c(t,x)|\le K,$$

$$\delta|\vartheta|^2\le\sum_{i,j=1}^d a^{ij}(t,x)\vartheta^i\vartheta^j\le\delta^{-1}|\vartheta|^2$$

for any $(t,x)\in\mathbb{R}^{d+1}$ and $\vartheta\in\mathbb{R}^d$.

Another assumption on the coefficients a^{ij} is that they are, in case $p\in(2,\infty)$, measurable in $(t,x^1)\in\mathbb{R}^2$ and VMO in $x'\in\mathbb{R}^{d-1}$ (the coefficient a^{11} is measurable in $x^1\in\mathbb{R}$ and VMO in $(t,x')\in\mathbb{R}^d$). In case $p=2$, the coefficients a^{ij} are measurable functions of only $(t,x^1)\in\mathbb{R}^2$, but $a^{11}(t,x^1)$ is VMO in $t\in\mathbb{R}$. To state this assumption precisely, we introduce the following notation. Let

$$B_r(x)=\{y\in\mathbb{R}^d:|x-y|<r\},$$

$$B'_r(x')=\{y'\in\mathbb{R}^{d-1}:|x'-y'|<r\},$$

$$Q_r(t,x) = (t, t+r^2) \times B_r(x), \quad \Gamma_r(t,x') = (t, t+r^2) \times B'_r(x'),$$
$$\Lambda_r(t,x) = (t, t+r^2) \times (x^1 - r, x^1 + r) \times B'_r(x').$$

Set $B_r = B_r(0)$, $B'_r = B'_r(0)$, $Q_r = Q_r(0)$ and so on. By $|B'_r|$ we mean the $d-1$-dimensional volume of $B'_r(0)$. Denote

$$\text{osc}_{x'}\left(a^{ij}, \Lambda_r(t,x)\right) = r^{-3}|B'_r|^{-2} \int_t^{t+r^2} \int_{x^1-r}^{x^1+r} A^{ij}_{x'}(s,\tau)\, d\tau\, ds,$$

$$\text{osc}_{(t,x')}\left(a^{ij}, \Lambda_r(t,x)\right) = r^{-5}|B'_r|^{-2} \int_{x^1-r}^{x^1+r} A^{ij}_{(t,x')}(\tau)\, d\tau,$$

where

$$A^{ij}_{x'}(s,\tau) = \int_{y',z' \in B'_r(x')} |a^{ij}(s,\tau,y') - a^{ij}(s,\tau,z')|\, dy'\, dz',$$

$$A^{ij}_{(t,x')}(\tau) = \int_{(\sigma,y'),(\varrho,z') \in \Gamma_r(t,x')} |a^{ij}(\sigma,\tau,y') - a^{ij}(\varrho,\tau,z')|\, dy'\, dz'\, d\sigma\, d\varrho.$$

Also denote

$$\mathcal{O}^{x'}_R(a^{ij}) = \sup_{(t,x)\in\mathbb{R}^{d+1}} \sup_{r \le R} \text{osc}_{x'}\left(a^{ij}, \Lambda_r(t,x)\right),$$

$$\mathcal{O}^{(t,x')}_R(a^{ij}) = \sup_{(t,x)\in\mathbb{R}^{d+1}} \sup_{r \le R} \text{osc}_{(t,x')}\left(a^{ij}, \Lambda_r(t,x)\right).$$

Finally set

$$a^{\#}_R = \mathcal{O}^{(t,x')}_R(a^{11}) + \sum_{i\neq 1 \text{ or } j \neq 1} \mathcal{O}^{x'}_R(a^{ij}).$$

Assumption 2.2. There is a continuous function $\omega(t)$ defined on $[0,\infty)$ such that $\omega(0) = 0$ and $a^{\#}_R \le \omega(R)$ for all $R \in [0,\infty)$.

By $W^{1,2}_{q,p}((S,T)\times\mathbb{R}^d)$ we mean the collection of all functions defined on $(S,T)\times\mathbb{R}^d$, $-\infty \le S < T \le \infty$, such that

$$\|u\|_{W^{1,2}_{q,p}((S,T)\times\mathbb{R}^d)} := \|u\|_{L_{q,p}((S,T)\times\mathbb{R}^d)} + \|u_x\|_{L_{q,p}((S,T)\times\mathbb{R}^d)}$$
$$+ \|u_{xx}\|_{L_{q,p}((S,T)\times\mathbb{R}^d)} + \|u_t\|_{L_{q,p}((S,T)\times\mathbb{R}^d)} < \infty.$$

We say $u \in \overset{0}{W}{}^{1,2}_{q,p}((S,T)\times\mathbb{R}^d)$ if $u \in W^{1,2}_{q,p}((S,T)\times\mathbb{R}^d)$ and $u(T,x) = 0$. Throughout the paper, we set

$$L_{q,p} := L_{q,p}(\mathbb{R}\times\mathbb{R}^d), \quad W^{1,2}_{q,p} := W^{1,2}_{q,p}(\mathbb{R}\times\mathbb{R}^d).$$

As usual,

$$L_p((S,T)\times\mathbb{R}^d) = L_{p,p}((S,T)\times\mathbb{R}^d),$$
$$W^{1,2}_p((S,T)\times\mathbb{R}^d) = W^{1,2}_{p,p}((S,T)\times\mathbb{R}^d).$$

We denote the differential operator by L, that is,

$$Lu = u_t + a^{ij} u_{x^i x^j} + b^i u_{x^i} + cu.$$

The following is our main result.

Theorem 2.3. *Let $q > p \geq 2$, $0 < T < \infty$, and the coefficients of L satisfy Assumption 2.1 and 2.2. In addition, we assume that, in case $p = 2$, a^{ij} are independent of $x' \in \mathbb{R}^{d-1}$. Then for any $f \in L_{q,p}((0,T) \times \mathbb{R}^d)$, there exists a unique $u \in \overset{0}{W}{}^{1,2}_{q,p}((0,T) \times \mathbb{R}^d)$ such that $Lu = f$ in $(0,T) \times \mathbb{R}^d$. Furthermore, there is a constant N, depending only on d, δ, K, p, q, T, and ω, such that, for any $u \in \overset{0}{W}{}^{1,2}_{q,p}((0,T) \times \mathbb{R}^d)$,*

$$\|u\|_{W^{1,2}_{q,p}((0,T)\times\mathbb{R}^d)} \leq N \|Lu\|_{L_{q,p}((0,T)\times\mathbb{R}^d)}.$$

3. Equations with a^{ij} measurable in $x^1 \in \mathbb{R}$ and VMO in $(t, x') \in \mathbb{R}^d$

In this section we suppose that the coefficients a^{ij} are measurable in $x^1 \in \mathbb{R}$ and VMO in $(t, x') \in \mathbb{R}^d$. To state this assumption, set

$$a_R^{\#(t,x')} = \sum_{i,j=1}^{d} \mathcal{O}_R^{(t,x')}(a^{ij}).$$

Assumption 3.1. There is a continuous function $\omega(t)$ defined on $[0, \infty)$ such that $\omega(0) = 0$ and $a_R^{\#(t,x')} \leq \omega(R)$ for all $R \in [0, \infty)$.

The following is the main result of this section.

Theorem 3.2. *Let $q > p \geq 2$, $0 < T < \infty$, and the coefficients of L satisfy Assumption 2.1 and 3.1. In addition, we assume that, in case $p = 2$, a^{ij} are independent of $x' \in \mathbb{R}^{d-1}$. Then for any $f \in L_{q,p}((0,T) \times \mathbb{R}^d)$, there exists a unique $u \in \overset{0}{W}{}^{1,2}_{q,p}((0,T) \times \mathbb{R}^d)$ such that $Lu = f$ in $(0,T) \times \mathbb{R}^d$. Furthermore, there is a constant N, depending only on d, δ, K, p, q, T, and ω, such that, for any $u \in \overset{0}{W}{}^{1,2}_{q,p}((0,T) \times \mathbb{R}^d)$,*

$$\|u\|_{W^{1,2}_{q,p}((0,T)\times\mathbb{R}^d)} \leq N \|Lu\|_{L_{q,p}((0,T)\times\mathbb{R}^d)}.$$

This theorem is proved in the next section after presenting some preliminary results. Throughout this section, we set

$$\mathcal{L}_\lambda u = u_t + a^{ij}(x^1) u_{x^i x^j} - \lambda u,$$

where $\lambda \geq 0$ and a^{ij} are measurable functions of only $x^1 \in \mathbb{R}$ satisfying Assumption 2.1.

We start with a theorem which can be derived from results in [5].

Theorem 3.3. *Let $p \geq 2$ and $T \in [-\infty, \infty)$. For any $\lambda > 0$ and $f \in L_p((T,\infty)\times\mathbb{R}^d)$, there exists a unique solution $u \in W_p^{1,2}((T,\infty)\times\mathbb{R}^d)$ to the equation $\mathcal{L}_\lambda u = f$. Furthermore, there is a constant $N = N(d,p,\delta)$ such that, for any $\lambda \geq 0$ and $u \in W_p^{1,2}((T,\infty)\times\mathbb{R}^d)$, we have*

$$\|u_t\|_{L_p((T,\infty)\times\mathbb{R}^d)} + \|u_{xx}\|_{L_p((T,\infty)\times\mathbb{R}^d)} + \sqrt{\lambda}\|u_x\|_{L_p((T,\infty)\times\mathbb{R}^d)}$$
$$+\lambda\|u\|_{L_p((T,\infty)\times\mathbb{R}^d)} \leq N\|\mathcal{L}_\lambda u\|_{L_p((T,\infty)\times\mathbb{R}^d)}.$$

If $T = -\infty$, this theorem is obtained from Theorem 3.2 in [5] for $p = 2$ and Lemma 5.3* in [5] for $p > 2$. For the case $T \in (-\infty, \infty)$, we use the case $T = -\infty$ and the argument following Corollary 5.14 in [9].

The following three lemmas are L_p-versions of Lemma 4.2, 4.3, and 4.4 in [5]. Since the estimate in Theorem 3.3 is available, their proofs can be done by repeating the proofs of Lemma 4.2, 4.3, and 4.4 in [5] with p in place of 2.

Lemma 3.4. *Let $p \in [2,\infty)$. For any $u \in W_{p,loc}^{1,2}(\mathbb{R}^{d+1})$, we have*

$$\|u_t\|_{L_p(Q_r)} + \|u_{xx}\|_{L_p(Q_r)} + \|u_x\|_{L_p(Q_r)} \leq N\left(\|\mathcal{L}_0 u\|_{L_p(Q_R)} + \|u\|_{L_p(Q_R)}\right),$$

where $0 < r < R < \infty$ and $N = N(d,p,\delta,r,R)$.

Lemma 3.5. *Let $p \in [2,\infty)$, $0 < r < R < \infty$, and $\gamma = (\gamma^1, \cdots, \gamma^d)$ be a multi-index such that $\gamma^1 = 0,1,2$. If $v \in C_{loc}^\infty(\mathbb{R}^{d+1})$ is a function such that $\mathcal{L}_0 v = 0$ in Q_R, then*

$$\int_{Q_r} |D_t^m D_x^\gamma v|^p \, dx\, dt \leq N \int_{Q_R} |v|^p \, dx\, dt,$$

where m is a nonnegative integer and $N = N(d,p,\delta,\gamma,m,r,R)$.

Lemma 3.6. *Let $p \geq 2$ and $v \in C_{loc}^\infty(\mathbb{R}^{d+1})$ be a function such that $\mathcal{L}_0 v = 0$ in Q_4. Then*

$$\sup_{Q_1}|v_{tt}| + \sup_{Q_1}|v_{tx}| + \sup_{Q_1}|v_{txx'}| + \sup_{Q_1}|v_{xxx'}| \leq N\|v\|_{L_p(Q_4)},$$

where $N = N(d,p,\delta)$.

The proofs of the lemmas and theorem below are almost identical to those in [9], specifically, proofs of Lemma 5.9, Theorem 5.10, and Theorem 5.1 in [9]. Basically, one can follow the steps in the proofs there using the above lemmas. However, rather than referring to [9], we give here complete proofs to provide the details of our case.

---

*In fact, Lemma 5.3 in [5] says that the estimate in Theorem 3.3 holds for all $\lambda \geq \lambda_0$, where $\lambda_0 \geq 0$, that is, λ_0 may not be 0. However, since the coefficients a^{ij} of $\mathcal{L}_\lambda$ are measurable functions of only $x^1 \in \mathbb{R}$ and $b^i = c = 0$, it can be proved, using a dilation argument, that $\lambda_0 = 0$ in our case.

Lemma 3.7. *Let $p \geq 2$. For every $v \in C^{\infty}_{loc}(\mathbb{R}^{d+1})$ such that $\mathcal{L}_\lambda v = 0$ in Q_4, we have*

$$\sup_{Q_1} |v_{tt}| + \sup_{Q_1} |v_{tx}| + \sup_{Q_1} |v_{txx'}| + \sup_{Q_1} |v_{xxx'}|$$

$$\leq N(d,p,\delta) \left(\|v_{xx}\|_{L_p(Q_4)} + \|v_t\|_{L_p(Q_4)} + \sqrt{\lambda} \|v_x\|_{L_p(Q_4)} \right).$$

Proof. We first note that, in case $\lambda = 0$, by Lemma 3.6

$$I := \sup_{Q_1} |v_{tt}| + \sup_{Q_1} |v_{tx}| + \sup_{Q_1} |v_{txx'}| + \sup_{Q_1} |v_{xxx'}| \leq N \|v\|_{L_p(Q_4)},$$

The function $u := v - (v)_{Q_4} - x^i \left(v_{x^i}\right)_{Q_4}$ can replace v in the above inequality since $\mathcal{L}_0 u = 0$ in Q_4. This together with the fact that $D_t^m D_x^\gamma v = D_t^m D_x^\gamma u$ for $m \geq 1$ or $|\gamma| \geq 2$ gives us

$$I \leq N \|v - (v)_{Q_4} - x^i \left(v_{x^i}\right)_{Q_4} \|_{L_p(Q_4)}.$$

This and Lemma 5.4 in [9] prove the inequality in the lemma for $\lambda = 0$.

In case $\lambda > 0$, let $\mathbf{v}(t,\mathbf{x}) = \mathbf{v}(t,x,\xi)$ be a function on $\mathbb{R}^{d+2}$ defined by

$$\mathbf{v}(t,\mathbf{x}) = v(t,x) \cos(\sqrt{\lambda}\, \xi),$$

where $(t,\mathbf{x}) = (t,x,\xi)$, $\xi \in \mathbb{R}$, and

$$\mathbf{Q}_r = (0,r^2) \times \{\mathbf{x} \in \mathbb{R}^{d+1} : |\mathbf{x}| < r\}.$$

Observe that

$$D_t^m D_x^\gamma v(t,x) = D_t^m D_x^\gamma \mathbf{v}(t,x,0),$$

where m is a non-negative integer and γ is a multi-index with respect to $x \in \mathbb{R}^d$. Thus

$$\sup_{Q_1} |D_t^m D_x^\gamma v| \leq \sup_{\mathbf{Q}_1} |D_t^m D_x^\gamma \mathbf{v}|.$$

In addition,

$$\mathcal{L}_0 \mathbf{v} + \mathbf{v}_{\xi\xi} = 0 \quad \text{in} \quad \mathbf{Q}_4.$$

Hence by the above reasoning for the case $\lambda = 0$ we have

$$I \leq N \left(\|\mathbf{v}_{\mathbf{xx}}\|_{L_p(\mathbf{Q}_4)} + \|\mathbf{v}_t\|_{L_p(\mathbf{Q}_4)} \right). \tag{2}$$

We see that $\mathbf{v}_{\mathbf{xx}}$ is

$$v_{xx} \cos(\sqrt{\lambda}\, \xi), \quad -\sqrt{\lambda} v_x \sin(\sqrt{\lambda}\, \xi), \quad \text{or} \quad -\lambda v \cos(\sqrt{\lambda}\, \xi).$$

Therefore, the right-hand side of the inequality (2) is not greater than a constant times

$$\|v_{xx}\|_{L_p(Q_4)} + \|v_t\|_{L_p(Q_4)} + \sqrt{\lambda} \|v_x\|_{L_p(Q_4)} + \lambda \|v\|_{L_p(Q_4)}.$$

This is bounded by the right-hand side of the inequality in the lemma (note that $\lambda v = \mathcal{L}_0 v$ in Q_4). The lemma is proved. □

Lemma 3.8. *Let $p \geq 2$, $\lambda \geq 0$, $\kappa \geq 4$, and $r \in (0,\infty)$. Let $v \in C^{\infty}_{loc}(\mathbb{R}^{d+1})$ be such that $\mathcal{L}_\lambda v = 0$ in $Q_{\kappa r}$. Then there is a constant N, depending only on d, p, and δ, such that*

$$\fint_{Q_r} |v_t(t,x) - (v_t)_{Q_r}|^p \, dx\, dt + \fint_{Q_r} |v_{xx'}(t,x) - (v_{xx'})_{Q_r}|^p \, dx\, dt$$
$$\leq N\kappa^{-p} \left(|v_{xx}|^p + |v_t|^p + \lambda^{p/2}|v_x|^p \right)_{Q_{\kappa r}}. \quad (3)$$

Proof. We first show that that the inequality (3) follows from the case with $r = 1$. To see this, for a given $v \in C^{\infty}_{\text{loc}}(\mathbb{R}^{d+1})$ such that $\mathcal{L}_\lambda v = 0$ in $Q_{\kappa r}$, $r > 0$, we set $\hat{v}(t,x) = v(r^2 t, rx)$. Then $\hat{v}$ satisfies

$$\hat{\mathcal{L}}_{r^2\lambda}\hat{v}(t,x) := \left(\frac{\partial}{\partial t} + a^{ij}(rx^1)\frac{\partial^2}{\partial x^i \partial x^j} - r^2\lambda \right) \hat{v}(t,x)$$
$$= r^2 \left(\mathcal{L}_\lambda v\right)(r^2 t, rx) = 0 \quad \text{in} \quad Q_\kappa.$$

Note that the coefficients $a^{ij}(rx^1)$ satisfy Assumption 2.1 with the same δ. Thus, if the inequality (3) holds true for $r = 1$, we have

$$\fint_{Q_1} |\hat{v}_t(t,x) - (\hat{v}_t)_{Q_1}|^p \, dx\, dt + \fint_{Q_1} |\hat{v}_{xx'}(t,x) - (\hat{v}_{xx'})_{Q_1}|^p \, dx\, dt$$
$$\leq N\kappa^{-p} \left(|\hat{v}_{xx}|^p + |\hat{v}_t|^p + (r^2\lambda)^{p/2}|\hat{v}_x|^p \right)_{Q_\kappa}.$$

This proves the inequality (3) for $r > 0$ since

$$\fint_{Q_1} |\hat{v}_t(t,x) - (\hat{v}_t)_{Q_1}|^p \, dx\, dt = r^{2p} \fint_{Q_r} |v_t(t,x) - (v_t)_{Q_r}|^p \, dx\, dt,$$
$$\fint_{Q_1} |\hat{v}_{xx'}(t,x) - (\hat{v}_{xx'})_{Q_1}|^p \, dx\, dt = r^{2p} \fint_{Q_r} |v_{xx'}(t,x) - (v_{xx'})_{Q_r}|^p \, dx\, dt$$
$$\left(|\hat{v}_{xx}|^p + |\hat{v}_t|^p + (r^2\lambda)^{p/2}|\hat{v}_x|^p \right)_{Q_\kappa} = r^{2p} \left(|v_{xx}|^p + |v_t|^p + \lambda^{p/2}|v_x|^p \right)_{Q_{\kappa r}}.$$

Now we prove the inequality (3) for $r = 1$. For $v \in C^{\infty}_{\text{loc}}(\mathbb{R}^{d+1})$ such that $\mathcal{L}_\lambda v = 0$ in Q_κ, $\kappa \geq 4$, set

$$\check{v}(t,x) = v\left(\left(\frac{\kappa}{4}\right)^2 t, \, \frac{\kappa}{4}x \right), \quad \check{a}^{ij}(x^1) = a^{ij}(\kappa x^1/4).$$

Then

$$\check{\mathcal{L}}_{(\frac{\kappa}{4})^2\lambda}\check{v}(t,x) := \left(\frac{\partial}{\partial t} + \check{a}^{ij}(x^1)\frac{\partial^2}{\partial x^i \partial x^j} - \left(\frac{\kappa}{4}\right)^2 \lambda \right) \check{v}(t,x)$$
$$= \left(\frac{\kappa}{4}\right)^2 \left(\mathcal{L}_\lambda v\right) \left(\left(\frac{\kappa}{4}\right)^2 t, \, \frac{\kappa}{4}x \right) = 0 \quad \text{in} \quad Q_4.$$

Thus by Lemma 3.7, it follows that

$$\check{I} \leq N \left(\|\check{v}_{xx}\|_{L_p(Q_4)} + \|\check{v}_t\|_{L_p(Q_4)} + \frac{\kappa}{4}\sqrt{\lambda}\|\check{v}_x\|_{L_p(Q_4)} \right), \quad (4)$$

where

$$\check{I} = \sup_{Q_1} |\check{v}_{tt}| + \sup_{Q_1} |\check{v}_{tx}| + \sup_{Q_1} |\check{v}_{txx'}| + \sup_{Q_1} |\check{v}_{xxx'}|.$$

Note that

$$(4/\kappa)^3 \, \check{I} = (\kappa/4) \left(\sup_{Q_{\kappa/4}} |v_{tt}| + \sup_{Q_{\kappa/4}} |v_{txx'}| \right) + \sup_{Q_{\kappa/4}} |v_{tx}| + \sup_{Q_{\kappa/4}} |v_{xxx'}|.$$

Using this, the inequality (4), and $\kappa \geq 4$, we have

$$\begin{aligned}
&\fint_{Q_1} |v_t(t,x) - (v_t)_{Q_1}|^p \, dx\, dt + \fint_{Q_1} |v_{xx'}(t,x) - (v_{xx'})_{Q_1}|^p \, dx\, dt \\
&\leq N \left(\sup_{Q_{\kappa/4}} |v_{tt}| + \sup_{Q_{\kappa/4}} |v_{tx}| + \sup_{Q_{\kappa/4}} |v_{txx'}| + \sup_{Q_{\kappa/4}} |v_{xxx'}| \right)^p \leq N\kappa^{-3p} \check{I}^p \\
&\leq N\kappa^{-3p} \left(\|\check{v}_{xx}\|^p_{L_p(Q_4)} + \|\check{v}_t\|^p_{L_p(Q_4)} + \kappa^p \lambda^{p/2} \|\check{v}_x\|^p_{L_p(Q_4)} \right) \\
&= N\kappa^{-p} \left(|v_{xx}|^p + |v_t|^p + \lambda^{p/2} |v_x|^p \right)_{L_p(Q_\kappa)}.
\end{aligned}$$

This finishes the proof. □

Theorem 3.9. *Let $p \geq 2$. Then there is a constant N, depending only on d, p, and δ, such that, for any $u \in W_p^{1,2}(\mathbb{R}^{d+1})$, $r \in (0,\infty)$, and $\kappa \geq 8$,*

$$\begin{aligned}
&\fint_{Q_r} |u_t(t,x) - (u_t)_{Q_r}|^p \, dx\, dt + \fint_{Q_r} |u_{xx'}(t,x) - (u_{xx'})_{Q_r}|^p \, dx\, dt \\
&\leq N\kappa^{d+2} \left(|\mathcal{L}_0 u|^p \right)_{Q_{\kappa r}} + N\kappa^{-p} \left(|u_{xx}|^p \right)_{Q_{\kappa r}}.
\end{aligned}$$

Proof. Since $C_0^\infty(\mathbb{R}^{d+1})$ is dense in $W_p^{1,2}(\mathbb{R}^{d+1})$, it is enough to have $u \in C_0^\infty(\mathbb{R}^{d+1})$. In addition, we can assume that $a^{ij}(x^1)$ are infinitely differentiable. Take a $\lambda > 0$ and, for $u \in C_0^\infty(\mathbb{R}^{d+1})$, let

$$f := f_\lambda = \mathcal{L}_\lambda u.$$

We see $f \in C_0^\infty(\mathbb{R}^{d+1})$. For given $r > 0$ and $\kappa \geq 8$, let $\eta \in C_0^\infty(\mathbb{R}^{d+1})$ be a function such that $\eta = 1$ on $Q_{\kappa r/2}$ and $\eta = 0$ outside $(-(\kappa r)^2, (\kappa r)^2) \times B_{\kappa r}$. Also let

$$g := f\eta, \quad h := f(1-\eta).$$

Then by Theorem 3.3 there exists a unique solution $v \in W_p^{1,2}(\mathbb{R}^{d+1})$ (note that $\lambda > 0$) to the equation

$$\mathcal{L}_\lambda v = h.$$

From the classical theory we see that the function v is infinitely differentiable. Moreover, since $\mathcal{L}_\lambda v = h = 0$ in $Q_{\kappa r/2}$ and $\kappa/2 \geq 4$, by Lemma 3.8, we have

$$\begin{aligned}
&⨍_{Q_r} |v_t(t,x) - (v_t)_{Q_r}|^p \, dx \, dt + ⨍_{Q_r} |v_{xx'}(t,x) - (v_{xx'})_{Q_r}|^p \, dx \, dt \\
&\qquad \leq N\kappa^{-p} \left(|v_{xx}|^p + |v_t|^p + \lambda^{p/2}|v_x|^p\right)_{Q_{\kappa r/2}} \\
&\qquad \leq N\kappa^{-p} \left(|v_{xx}|^p + |v_t|^p + \lambda^{p/2}|v_x|^p\right)_{Q_{\kappa r}}.
\end{aligned}$$

Set $w := u - v \in W_p^{1,2}(\mathbb{R}^{d+1})$. Then from the above inequality it follows that

$$\begin{aligned}
&⨍_{Q_r} |u_{xx'}(t,x) - (u_{xx'})_{Q_r}|^p \, dx \, dt \\
&\leq 2^p ⨍_{Q_r} |w_{xx'}(t,x) - (w_{xx'})_{Q_r}|^p \, dx \, dt + 2^p ⨍_{Q_r} |v_{xx'}(t,x) - (v_{xx'})_{Q_r}|^p \, dx \, dt \\
&\qquad \leq N\left(|w_{xx'}|^p\right)_{Q_r} + N\kappa^{-p}\left(|v_{xx}|^p + |v_t|^p + \lambda^{p/2}|v_x|^p\right)_{Q_{\kappa r}}.
\end{aligned}$$

Similar inequalities are possible with u_t in place of $u_{xx'}$. Thus we have

$$\begin{aligned}
&⨍_{Q_r} |u_t(t,x) - (u_t)_{Q_r}|^p \, dx \, dt + ⨍_{Q_r} |u_{xx'}(t,x) - (u_{xx'})_{Q_r}|^p \, dx \, dt \\
&\qquad \leq N\left(|w_t|^p + |w_{xx}|^p\right)_{Q_r} + N\kappa^{-p}\left(|v_{xx}|^p + |v_t|^p + \lambda^{p/2}|v_x|^p\right)_{Q_{\kappa r}}. \qquad (5)
\end{aligned}$$

Now we observe that

$$\mathcal{L}_\lambda w = \mathcal{L}_\lambda(u - v) = f - h = g$$

and, by Theorem 3.3,

$$\begin{aligned}
&\int_{Q_r} |w_t|^p \, dx \, dt + \int_{Q_r} |w_{xx}|^p \, dx \, dt \\
&\leq \|w_t\|^p_{L_p((0,\infty)\times\mathbb{R}^d)} + \|w_{xx}\|^p_{L_p((0,\infty)\times\mathbb{R}^d)} + \lambda^{p/2}\|w_x\|^p_{L_p((0,\infty)\times\mathbb{R}^d)} \\
&\leq N\|g\|^p_{L_p((0,\infty)\times\mathbb{R}^d)} = N\int_{Q_{\kappa r}} |g|^p \, dx \, dt \leq N \int_{Q_{\kappa r}} |f|^p \, dx \, dt.
\end{aligned}$$

From this we see that

$$\left(|w_t|^p\right)_{Q_r} + \left(|w_{xx}|^p\right)_{Q_r} \leq N\kappa^{d+2}\left(|f|^p\right)_{Q_{\kappa r}},$$

$$\left(|w_{xx}|^p + |w_t|^p + \lambda^{p/2}|w_x|^p\right)_{Q_{\kappa r}} \leq N\left(|f|^p\right)_{Q_{\kappa r}}.$$

Now we use the these inequalities as well as the inequality (5). We also use the fact $u = w + v$ and $\kappa \geq 8$. Then we obtain

$$⨍_{Q_r} |u_t(t,x) - (u_t)_{Q_r}|^p \, dx \, dt + ⨍_{Q_r} |u_{xx'}(t,x) - (u_{xx'})_{Q_r}|^p \, dx \, dt$$

$$\begin{aligned}&\le N\kappa^{d+2}\left(|f|^p\right)_{Q_{\kappa r}} + N\kappa^{-p}\left(|w_{xx}|^p + |w_t|^p + \lambda^{p/2}|w_x|^p\right)_{Q_{\kappa r}}\\ &\qquad + N\kappa^{-p}\left(|u_{xx}|^p + |u_t|^p + \lambda^{p/2}|u_x|^p\right)_{Q_{\kappa r}}\\ &\le N\kappa^{d+2}\left(|f|^p\right)_{Q_{\kappa r}} + N\kappa^{-p}\left(|u_{xx}|^p + |u_t|^p + \lambda^{p/2}|u_x|^p\right)_{Q_{\kappa r}}.\end{aligned}$$

To complete the proof, we use the fact that $u_t = f + \lambda u - a^{ij}u_{x^ix^j}$, and then let $\lambda \searrow 0$. □

4. Proof of Theorem 3.2

Recall $Lu = u_t + a^{ij}(t,x)u_{x^ix^j} + b^iu_{x^i} + cu$, where coefficients a^{ij}, b^i, and c satisfy Assumption 2.1 and 3.1. Set

$$L_0u = u_t + a^{ij}(t,x)u_{x^ix^j}.$$

Lemma 4.1. *Let $p > q \ge 2$, and $r \in (0,1]$. Assume that $v \in W^{1,2}_{p,loc}(\mathbb{R}^{d+1})$ satisfies $L_0v = 0$ in Q_{2r}. Then*

$$\left(|v_{xx}|^p\right)^{1/p}_{Q_r} \le N\left(|v_{xx}|^2\right)^{1/2}_{Q_{2r}} \le N\left(|v_{xx}|^q\right)^{1/q}_{Q_{2r}},$$

where N depends only on d, p, δ, and the function ω.

Proof. This lemma is almost the same as Corollary 6.4 in [9] if L_0 is replaced by the operator used there. In our case, we can repeat the argument in Corollary 6.4 of [9] if we have the estimate

$$\|u_{xx}\|_{L_p(Q_r)} \le N\left(\|L_0u\|_{L_p(Q_{\kappa r})} + r^{-1}\|u_x\|_{L_p(Q_{\kappa r})} + r^{-2}\|u\|_{L_p(Q_{\kappa r})}\right)$$

for $p \in (2,\infty)$ and $u \in W^{1,2}_{p,\mathrm{loc}}(\mathbb{R}^{d+1})$, where $r \in (0,1]$, $\kappa \in (1,\infty)$, and N depends only on d, p, δ, κ, and the function ω. This is obtained using Theorem 2.5 in [5] and the argument in the proof of Lemma 6.3 of [9]. □

The following theorem is proved in the same way as Lemma 3.1 in [9]. Because of the difference between our operator L (or L_0) and the operator defined in [9], we give a complete proof here.

Theorem 4.2. *Let $p \ge 2$. In case $p = 2$, the coefficients a^{ij} of L_0 are assumed to be independent of $x' \in \mathbb{R}^{d-1}$. Then there exists a constant N, depending on d, p, δ, and the function ω, such that, for any $u \in C_0^\infty(\mathbb{R}^{d+1})$, $\kappa \ge 16$, and $r \in (0, 1/\kappa]$, we have*

$$\begin{aligned}&\fint_{Q_r} |u_t(t,x) - (u_t)_{Q_r}|^p\,dx\,dt + \fint_{Q_r} |u_{xx'}(t,x) - (u_{xx'})_{Q_r}|^p\,dx\,dt\\ &\qquad\le N\kappa^{d+2}\left(|L_0u|^p\right)_{Q_{\kappa r}} + N\left(\kappa^{-p} + \kappa^{d+2}\hat{a}^{1/2}\right)\left(|u_{xx}|^p\right)_{Q_{\kappa r}},\end{aligned}$$

where $\hat{a} = a^{\#(t,x')}_{\kappa r}$.

Proof. For given $u \in C_0^\infty(\mathbb{R}^{d+1})$, $\kappa \geq 16$, and $r \in (0, 1/\kappa]$, find a unique function $\tilde{w} \in W_p^{1,2}((-3,4)\times\mathbb{R}^d)$ satisfying $\tilde{w}(4,x) = 0$ and

$$L_0 \tilde{w} = f I_{Q_{\kappa r}},$$

where $f := L_0 u$. This is possible by Theorem 2.2 and 2.5 in [5]. In fact, $\tilde{w} \in W_q^{1,2}((-3,4)\times\mathbb{R}^d)$ for all $q \in (2,\infty)^\dagger$ because $f I_{Q_{\kappa r}} \in L_q((-3,4)\times\mathbb{R}^d)$ for all $q > 2$. Let

$$w(t,x) = \eta(t)\tilde{w}(t,x),$$

where $\eta(t)$ is an infinitely differentiable function defined on $\mathbb{R}$ such that

$$\eta(t) = 1, \quad -1 \leq t \leq 2, \quad \eta(t) = 0, \quad t \leq -2 \quad \text{or} \quad t \geq 3.$$

We see that $w \in W_p^{1,2}(\mathbb{R}^{d+1})$ and, in addition, $w \in W_q^{1,2}(\mathbb{R}^{d+1})$ for all $q \in (2,\infty)$. From the estimates from Theorem 2.2 and 2.5 in [5] we have

$$\int_{Q_{\kappa r}} \left(|w_t|^p + |w_{xx}|^p\right) dx\,dt \leq \int_{(-3,4)\times\mathbb{R}^d} \left(|\tilde{w}_t|^p + |\tilde{w}_{xx}|^p\right) dx\,dt$$

$$\leq N \int_{Q_{\kappa r}} |f|^p \, dx\,dt,$$

where N depends only on d, δ, p, and ω (it also depends on the time interval, but the time interval here is fixed as $(-3,4)$). Thus

$$\left(|w_t|^p + |w_{xx}|^p\right)_{Q_{\kappa r}} \leq N \left(|f|^p\right)_{Q_{\kappa r}}, \tag{6}$$

$$\left(|w_t|^p + |w_{xx}|^p\right)_{Q_r} \leq N \kappa^{d+2} \left(|f|^p\right)_{Q_{\kappa r}}. \tag{7}$$

where $N = N(d,\delta,p,\omega)$.

Now we set

$$v = u - w.$$

Then $v \in W_p^{1,2}(\mathbb{R}^{d+1})$, $v \in W_q^{1,2}(\mathbb{R}^{d+1})$, $q \in (2,\infty)$, and

$$L_0 v = 0 \quad \text{in} \quad Q_{\kappa r}.$$

Let

$$\bar{L}_0 = \frac{\partial}{\partial t} + \bar{a}^{ij}(x^1)\frac{\partial^2}{\partial x^i \partial x^j},$$

where

$$\bar{a}^{ij}(x^1) = \fint_{\Gamma_{\kappa r/2}} a^{ij}(s, x^1, y')\, dy'\, ds.$$

[†] We may not be able to have $q = 2$ if $p \neq 2$ and the coefficients a^{ij} are not independent of $x' \in \mathbb{R}^{d-1}$.

Since $v \in W_p^{1,2}(\mathbb{R}^{d+1})$ and $\kappa/2 \geq 8$, by Theorem 3.9 applied to the operator $\bar{L}_0$, we have

$$\begin{aligned}\fint_{Q_r} |v_t(t,x) - (v_t)_{Q_r}|^p \, dx\, dt + \fint_{Q_r} |v_{xx'}(t,x) - (v_{xx'})_{Q_r}|^p \, dx\, dt \\ \leq N\kappa^{d+2} \left(|\bar{L}_0 v|^p\right)_{Q_{\kappa r/2}} + N\kappa^{-p} \left(|v_{xx}|^p\right)_{Q_{\kappa r/2}}.\end{aligned}$$

Using the fact that $L_0 v = 0$ in $Q_{\kappa r}$, we have

$$\left(|\bar{L}_0 v|^p\right)_{Q_{\kappa r/2}} = \fint_{Q_{\kappa r/2}} | \left(\bar{a}^{ij}(x^1) - a^{ij}(t,x)\right) v_{x^i x^j}|^p \, dx\, dt$$

$$\leq \left(\fint_{Q_{\kappa r/2}} |\bar{a}^{ij}(x^1) - a^{ij}(t,x)|^{2p} \, dx\, dt \right)^{1/2} \left(\fint_{Q_{\kappa r/2}} |v_{x^i x^j}|^{2p} \, dx\, dt \right)^{1/2},$$

where we see

$$\begin{aligned}\fint_{Q_{\kappa r/2}} |\bar{a}^{ij}(x^1) - a^{ij}(t,x)|^{2p} \, dx\, dt \leq N \fint_{Q_{\kappa r/2}} |\bar{a}^{ij}(x^1) - a^{ij}(t,x)| \, dx\, dt \\ \leq N a^{\#(t,x')}_{\kappa r/2}.\end{aligned}$$

From Lemma 4.1 we also see

$$\left(\fint_{Q_{\kappa r/2}} |v_{x^i x^j}|^{2p} \, dx\, dt \right)^{1/2} \leq N(d,p,\delta,\omega) \left(\fint_{Q_{\kappa r}} |v_{xx}|^p \, dx\, dt \right).$$

Hence

$$\begin{aligned}\fint_{Q_r} |v_t(t,x) - (v_t)_{Q_r}|^p \, dx\, dt + \fint_{Q_r} |v_{xx'}(t,x) - (v_{xx'})_{Q_r}|^p \, dx\, dt \\ \leq N \left(\kappa^{-p} + \kappa^{d+2} \hat{a}^{1/2}\right) \left(|v_{xx}|^p\right)_{Q_{\kappa r}}.\end{aligned}$$

Note that

$$\begin{aligned}\left(|v_{xx}|^p\right)_{Q_{\kappa r}} &\leq N \left(|u_{xx}|^p\right)_{Q_{\kappa r}} + N \left(|w_{xx}|^p\right)_{Q_{\kappa r}} \\ &\leq N \left(|u_{xx}|^p\right)_{Q_{\kappa r}} + N \left(|f|^p\right)_{Q_{\kappa r}},\end{aligned}$$

where the second inequality is due to (6). Also note that, using the inequality (7),

$$\fint_{Q_r} |w_{xx'}(t,x) - (w_{xx'})_{Q_r}|^p \, dx\, dt \leq N \left(|w_{xx'}|^p\right)_{Q_r} \leq N\kappa^{d+2} \left(|f|^p\right)_{Q_{\kappa r}},$$

$$\fint_{Q_r} |w_t(t,x) - (w_t)_{Q_r}|^p \, dx\, dt \leq N \left(|w_t|^p\right)_{Q_r} \leq N\kappa^{d+2} \left(|f|^p\right)_{Q_{\kappa r}}.$$

Therefore,

$$\fint_{Q_r} |u_{xx'}(t,x) - (u_{xx'})_{Q_r}|^p \, dx\, dt$$

$$\leq N \fint_{Q_r} |v_{xx'}(t,x) - (v_{xx'})_{Q_r}|^p \, dx \, dt + N \fint_{Q_r} |w_{xx'}(t,x) - (w_{xx'})_{Q_r}|^p \, dx \, dt$$

$$\leq N \left(\kappa^{-p} + \kappa^{d+2} \hat{a}^{1/2} \right) (|u_{xx}|^p + |f|^p)_{Q_{\kappa r}} + N \kappa^{d+2} (|f|^p)_{Q_{\kappa r}}.$$

Similarly, we have

$$\fint_{Q_r} |u_t(t,x) - (u_t)_{Q_r}|^p \, dx \, dt$$

$$\leq N \left(\kappa^{-p} + \kappa^{d+2} \hat{a}^{1/2} \right) (|u_{xx}|^p + |f|^p)_{Q_{\kappa r}} + N \kappa^{d+2} (|f|^p)_{Q_{\kappa r}}.$$

The theorem is proved. □

If g is a function defined on $\mathbb{R}$, by $(g)_{(\mathsf{a},\mathsf{b})}$ we mean

$$(g)_{(\mathsf{a},\mathsf{b})} = \fint_{(\mathsf{a},\mathsf{b})} g(s) \, ds = (\mathsf{b} - \mathsf{a})^{-1} \int_{\mathsf{a}}^{\mathsf{b}} g(s) \, ds.$$

The maximal and sharp function of g are defined by

$$Mg(t) = \sup_{t \in (\mathsf{a},\mathsf{b})} \fint_{(\mathsf{a},\mathsf{b})} |g(s)| \, ds,$$

$$g^{\#}(t) = \sup_{t \in (\mathsf{a},\mathsf{b})} \fint_{(\mathsf{a},\mathsf{b})} |g(s) - (g)_{(\mathsf{a},\mathsf{b})}| \, ds,$$

where the supremums are taken over all intervals (a,b) containing t.

The following corollary follows from Theorem 4.2 above and the argument in the proof of Corollary 3.2 in [9].

Corollary 4.3. *Let $p \geq 2$. In case $p = 2$, we assume that the coefficients $a^{ij}(t,x)$ of L_0 are independent of $x' \in \mathbb{R}^{d-1}$. Then there exists a constant N, depending on d, p, δ, and the function ω, such that, for any $u \in C_0^\infty(\mathbb{R}^{d+1})$, $\kappa \geq 16$, and $r \in (0, 1/\kappa]$, we have*

$$\fint_{(0,r^2)} \left| \phi(t) - (\phi)_{(0,r^2)} \right|^p dt + \fint_{(0,r^2)} \left| \varphi(t) - (\varphi)_{(0,r^2)} \right|^p dt$$

$$\leq N \kappa^{d+2} (\psi^p)_{(0,(\kappa r)^2)} + N \left(\kappa^{-p} + \kappa^{d+2} \hat{a}^{1/2} \right) (\zeta^p)_{(0,(\kappa r)^2)},$$

where $\hat{a} = a_{\kappa r}^{\#(t,x')}$,

$$\phi(t) = \|u_t(t,\cdot)\|_{L_p(\mathbb{R}^d)}, \quad \varphi(t) = \|u_{xx'}(t,\cdot)\|_{L_p(\mathbb{R}^d)},$$

$$\zeta(t) = \|u_{xx}(t,\cdot)\|_{L_p(\mathbb{R}^d)}, \quad \psi(t) = \|L_0 u(t,\cdot)\|_{L_p(\mathbb{R}^d)}.$$

The following two assertions are similar to Lemma 3.3 and 3.4 in [9]. However, since our statements are a little bit different from those in [9], we present here proofs.

Lemma 4.4. *Let $p \geq 2$. In case $p = 2$, we assume that the coefficients $a^{ij}(t,x)$ of L_0 are independent of $x' \in \mathbb{R}^{d-1}$. Let $R \in (0,1]$ and u be a function in $C_0^\infty(\mathbb{R}^{d+1})$ such that $u(t,x) = 0$ for $t \notin (0, R^4)$. Then*

$$\phi^{\#}(t_0) + \varphi^{\#}(t_0) \leq N\kappa^{(d+2)/p} \left(M\psi^p(t_0)\right)^{1/p} + N(\kappa R)^{2-2/p} \left(M\phi^p(t_0)\right)^{1/p}$$

$$+N\left((\kappa R)^{2-2/p} + \kappa^{-1} + \kappa^{(d+2)/p} \left(\omega(R)\right)^{1/2p}\right) \left(M\zeta^p(t_0)\right)^{1/p}$$

for all $\kappa \geq 16$ and $t_0 \in \mathbb{R}$, where $N = N(d,p,\delta,\omega)$ and the functions ϕ, φ, ζ, ψ are defined as in Corollary 4.3.

Proof. Take a κ such that $\kappa \geq 16$. If $r \leq R/\kappa$, then $\kappa r \leq R \leq 1$ and $a_{\kappa r}^{\#(t,x')} \leq a_R^{\#(t,x')} \leq \omega(R)$. Thus by Corollary 4.3,

$$\fint_{(0,r^2)} \left|\phi(t) - (\phi)_{(0,r^2)}\right|^p \, dt + \fint_{(0,r^2)} \left|\varphi(t) - (\varphi)_{(0,r^2)}\right|^p \, dt$$

$$\leq N\kappa^{d+2}(\psi^p)_{(0,(\kappa r)^2)} + N\left(\kappa^{-p} + \kappa^{d+2}\left(\omega(R)\right)^{1/2}\right)(\zeta^p)_{(0,(\kappa r)^2)}.$$

An appropriate translation of this inequality gives us

$$\fint_{(\mathsf{a},\mathsf{b})} \left|\phi(t) - (\phi)_{(\mathsf{a},\mathsf{b})}\right|^p \, dt + \fint_{(\mathsf{a},\mathsf{b})} \left|\varphi(t) - (\varphi)_{(\mathsf{a},\mathsf{b})}\right|^p \, dt$$

$$\leq N\kappa^{d+2}(\psi^p)_{(\mathsf{a},\mathsf{c})} + N\left(\kappa^{-p} + \kappa^{d+2}\left(\omega(R)\right)^{1/2}\right)(\zeta^p)_{(\mathsf{a},\mathsf{c})}$$

if (a,b) is an interval such that $\mathsf{b} - \mathsf{a} \leq R^2/\kappa^2$ and $\mathsf{c} = \mathsf{a} + \kappa^2(\mathsf{b} - \mathsf{a})$. Note that, for $t_0 \in (\mathsf{a},\mathsf{b})$,

$$(\psi^p)_{(\mathsf{a},\mathsf{c})} \leq M\psi^p(t_0), \quad (\zeta^p)_{(\mathsf{a},\mathsf{c})} \leq M\zeta^p(t_0).$$

Thus by using the Hölder's inequality it follows that

$$\fint_{(\mathsf{a},\mathsf{b})} \left|\phi(t) - (\phi)_{(\mathsf{a},\mathsf{b})}\right| \, dt + \fint_{(\mathsf{a},\mathsf{b})} \left|\varphi(t) - (\varphi)_{(\mathsf{a},\mathsf{b})}\right|$$

$$\leq N\kappa^{(d+2)/p}\left(M\psi^p(t_0)\right)^{1/p} + N\left(\kappa^{-1} + \kappa^{(d+2)/p}\left(\omega(R)\right)^{1/2p}\right)\left(M\zeta^p(t_0)\right)^{1/p},$$

where $t_0 \in (\mathsf{a},\mathsf{b})$ and $\mathsf{b} - \mathsf{a} \leq R^2/\kappa^2$. Now take an interval (a,b) such that $t_0 \in (\mathsf{a},\mathsf{b})$ and $\mathsf{b} - \mathsf{a} > R^2/\kappa^2$. Then

$$\fint_{(\mathsf{a},\mathsf{b})} \left|\phi(t) - (\phi)_{(\mathsf{a},\mathsf{b})}\right| \, dt \leq 2\fint_{(\mathsf{a},\mathsf{b})} I_{(0,R^4)}(t)\left|\phi(t)\right| \, dt$$

$$\leq 2\left(\fint_{(\mathsf{a},\mathsf{b})} I_{(0,R^4)}(t)\, dt\right)^{1-1/p} \left(\fint_{(\mathsf{a},\mathsf{b})} |\phi(t)|^p \, dt\right)^{1/p}$$

$$\leq 2\left(R^4(\mathsf{b} - \mathsf{a})^{-1}\right)^{1-1/p}\left(M\phi^p(t_0)\right)^{1/p} \leq 2\left(\kappa R\right)^{2-2/p}\left(M\phi^p(t_0)\right)^{1/p}.$$

By a similar calculation, we obtain

$$\fint_{(\mathsf{a},\mathsf{b})} \left|\varphi(t) - (\varphi)_{(\mathsf{a},\mathsf{b})}\right| \, dt \le 2 \, (\kappa R)^{2-2/p} \left(M\varphi^p(t_0)\right)^{1/p}$$

$$\le N \, (\kappa R)^{2-2/p} \left(M\zeta^p(t_0)\right)^{1/p}.$$

Therefore, for all intervals $(\mathsf{a},\mathsf{b}) \ni t_0$,

$$\fint_{(\mathsf{a},\mathsf{b})} \left|\phi(t) - (\phi)_{(\mathsf{a},\mathsf{b})}\right| \, dt + \fint_{(\mathsf{a},\mathsf{b})} \left|\varphi(t) - (\varphi)_{(\mathsf{a},\mathsf{b})}\right|$$

$$\le N\kappa^{(d+2)/p} \left(M\psi^p(t_0)\right)^{1/p} + N \, (\kappa R)^{2-2/p} \left(M\phi^p(t_0)\right)^{1/p}$$

$$+ N \left((\kappa R)^{2-2/p} + \kappa^{-1} + \kappa^{(d+2)/p} \left(\omega(R)\right)^{1/2p} \right) \left(M\zeta^p(t_0)\right)^{1/p}.$$

Taking the supremum of the left-hand side of the above inequality over all intervals $(\mathsf{a},\mathsf{b}) \ni t_0$, we obtain the inequality in the lemma. The lemma is proved. $\square$

Corollary 4.5. *Let $q > p \ge 2$. Assume that, in case $p = 2$, the coefficients a^{ij} of L_0 are independent of $x' \in \mathbb{R}^{d-1}$. Then there exists $R = R(d,p,q,\delta,\omega)$ such that, for any $u \in C_0^\infty(\mathbb{R}^{d+1})$ satisfying $u(t,x) = 0$ for $t \notin (0, R^4)$,*

$$\|u_t\|_{L_{q,p}} + \|u_{xx}\|_{L_{q,p}} \le N \|L_0 u\|_{L_{q,p}},$$

where $N = N(d,p,q,\delta,\omega)$.

Proof. Let $u \in C_0^\infty(\mathbb{R}^{d+1})$ be a function such that $u(t,x) = 0$ for $t \notin (0, R^4)$, $R \in (0,1]$, where R will be specified below. Using the inequality in Lemma 4.4 as well as the Hardy-Littlewood theorem and Fefferman-Stein theorem (note that $q/p > 1$), we arrive at

$$\|u_t\|_{L_{q,p}} + \|u_{xx'}\|_{L_{q,p}} \le N\kappa^{(d+2)/p} \|L_0 u\|_{L_{q,p}} + N(\kappa R)^{2-2/p} \|u_t\|_{L_{q,p}}$$

$$+ N \left((\kappa R)^{2-2/p} + \kappa^{-1} + \kappa^{(d+2)/p} \left(\omega(R)\right)^{1/2p} \right) \|u_{xx}\|_{L_{q,p}}$$

for all $\kappa \ge 16$. The left-hand side of the above inequality can be replaced by $\|u_t\|_{L_{q,p}} + \|u_{xx}\|_{L_{q,p}}$ since

$$u_{x^1x^1} = \frac{1}{a^{11}} \left(L_0 u - u_t - \sum_{i \ne 1, j \ne 1} a^{ij} u_{x^i x^j} \right).$$

Now we choose a large κ and then a small R such that

$$N \left((\kappa R)^{2-2/p} + \kappa^{-1} + \kappa^{(d+2)/p} \left(\omega(R)\right)^{1/2p} \right) < 1/2$$

$$N(\kappa R)^{2-2/p} < 1/2.$$

It then follows that

$$\|u_t\|_{L_{q,p}} + \|u_{xx}\|_{L_{q,p}} \le 2N\kappa^{(d+2)/p}\|L_0 u\|_{L_{q,p}}.$$

This finishes the proof. □

Now that we have an $L_{q,p}$-estimate for functions with compact support with respect to $t \in \mathbb{R}$, by repeating word for word the proofs in section 3 in [9], more precisely, proofs of Lemma 3.4 and Theorem 3.5 in [9], we complete the proof of Theorem 3.2.

5. EQUATIONS WITH a^{ij} MEASURABLE IN $(t, x^1) \in \mathbb{R}^2$

Throughout this section, we set

$$\mathfrak{L}_\lambda u = u_t + a^{ij}(t, x^1) u_{x^i x^j} - \lambda u,$$

where $\lambda \ge 0$ and a^{ij} are functions of only $(t, x^1) \in \mathbb{R}^2$, $a^{11}(x^1)$ is a function of $x^1 \in \mathbb{R}$, satisfying Assumption 2.1.

In this section we call $\mathfrak{q}_r$ the 1-spatial dimensional version of Q_r, that is,

$$\mathfrak{q}_r(t, x^1) = (t, t + r^2) \times (x^1 - r, x^1 + r) \subset \mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{R}.$$

Especially, $\mathfrak{q}_r = \mathfrak{q}_r(0,0)$.

As is seen in [3], one of key steps there is based on Theorem 3.2 in this paper. Now that we have proved Theorem 3.2, using the results in [3] as well as in [5], we are able to state the following theorem.

Theorem 5.1. *Let $p \ge 2$ and $T \in [-\infty, \infty)$. For any $\lambda > 0$ and $f \in L_p((T,\infty)\times\mathbb{R}^d)$, there exists a unique solution $u \in W_p^{1,2}((T,\infty)\times\mathbb{R}^d)$ to the equation $\mathfrak{L}_\lambda u = f$. Furthermore, there is a constant $N = N(d, p, \delta)$ such that, for any $\lambda \ge 0$ and $u \in W_p^{1,2}((T,\infty)\times\mathbb{R}^d)$, we have*

$$\|u_t\|_{L_p((T,\infty)\times\mathbb{R}^d)} + \|u_{xx}\|_{L_p((T,\infty)\times\mathbb{R}^d)} + \sqrt{\lambda}\|u_x\|_{L_p((T,\infty)\times\mathbb{R}^d)}$$
$$+\lambda\|u\|_{L_p((T,\infty)\times\mathbb{R}^d)} \le N\|\mathfrak{L}_\lambda u\|_{L_p((T,\infty)\times\mathbb{R}^d)}.$$

More precisely, this theorem follows, in case $p = 2$, from Theorem 3.2 in [5] and, in case $p > 2$, from Corollary 4.2 in [3] as well as the argument in the proof of Theorem 4.1 in [8] (see also the discussion following Theorem 3.3).

Based on the estimate in the above theorem, we have the following lemmas which are similar to those in section 3. However, we do not have $D_t^m v$, $m \ge 2$, in Lemma 5.3 because a^{ij} are not independent of $t \in \mathbb{R}$ except a^{11}.

Lemma 5.2. *Let $p \in [2, \infty)$. For any $u \in W^{1,2}_{p,loc}(\mathbb{R}^{d+1})$, we have*

$$\|u_t\|_{L_p(Q_r)} + \|u_{xx}\|_{L_p(Q_r)} + \|u_x\|_{L_p(Q_r)} \le N \left(\|\mathfrak{L}_0 u\|_{L_p(Q_R)} + \|u\|_{L_p(Q_R)} \right),$$

where $0 < r < R < \infty$ and $N = N(d, p, \delta, r, R)$.

Lemma 5.3. *Let $p \in [2, \infty)$, $0 < r < R < \infty$, and $\gamma = (\gamma^1, \cdots, \gamma^d)$ be a multi-index such that $\gamma^1 = 0, 1, 2$. Set $\gamma' = (0, \gamma^2, \cdots, \gamma^d)$. If $v \in C^\infty_{loc}(\mathbb{R}^{d+1})$ is a function such that $\mathfrak{L}_0 v = 0$ in Q_R, then*

$$\int_{Q_r} |D^{\gamma'} v_t|^p \, dx \, dt + \int_{Q_r} |D^\gamma v|^p \, dx \, dt \le N \int_{Q_R} |v|^p \, dx \, dt, \tag{8}$$

where $N = N(d, p, \delta, \gamma, r, R)$.

Let us recall some function spaces which we need in the following. We denote by $H^s_p(\mathbb{R}^d)$, $s \in \mathbb{R}$, as is well-known, the space of all generalized functions u such that $(1-\Delta)^{s/2} u \in L_p(\mathbb{R}^d)$. For $k = 0, 1, 2, \cdots$, $W^k_p(\Omega)$ is the usual Sobolev space and $C^{k+\nu}(\Omega)$, $0 < \nu < 1$, is the Hölder space. By $C^k(\Omega)$ we mean the space of all functions u whose derivatives $D^\alpha u$, $|\alpha| \le k$, are continuous and bounded in Ω. As usual, we set

$$\|u\|_{C^{k+\nu}(\Omega)} = \|u\|_{C^k(\Omega)} + \sum_{|\alpha| = k} \sup_{\substack{x, y \in \Omega \\ x \ne y}} \frac{|D^\alpha u(x) - D^\alpha u(y)|}{|x - y|^\nu},$$

where

$$\|u\|_{C^k(\Omega)} = \sum_{|\alpha| \le k} \sup_{x \in \Omega} |D^\alpha u(x)|.$$

The following three lemmas generalize Lemma 3.4, 3.5, and 3.7 in [3] to the case $p \ge 2$.

Lemma 5.4. *Let $p \ge 2$ and $u \in W^{1,2}_p((0, \infty) \times \mathbb{R}^d) \cap C^2([0, \infty) \times \mathbb{R}^d)$. Then*

$$\sup_{0 \le s < \infty} \|u(s, \cdot)\|_{W^1_p(\mathbb{R}^d)} \le N(d, p) \|u\|_{W^{1,2}_p((0,\infty) \times \mathbb{R}^d)}.$$

Proof. Note that

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^d} |u(s, x)|^p \, dx = - \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} \int_s^\infty p |u(t, x)|^{p-2} u(t, x) u_t(t, x) \, dt \, dx$$

and

$$-p |u(t, x)|^{p-2} u(t, x) u_t(t, x) \le p |u|^{p-1} |u_t| \le (p - 1) |u|^p + |u_t|^p.$$

Hence

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^d} |u(s, x)|^p \, dx \le N(p) \|u\|^p_{W^{1,2}_p((0,\infty) \times \mathbb{R}^d)}$$

for all $s \in [0, \infty)$.

Similarly,

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^d} |u_{x^i}(s,x)|^p \, dx = -\int_{\mathbb{R}^d} \int_s^\infty p|u_{x^i}(t,x)|^{p-2} u_{x^i}(t,x) u_{x^i t}(t,x) \, dt \, dx$$

$$= \int_s^\infty \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} (p^2 - p)|u_{x^i}(t,x)|^{p-2} u_{x^i x^i}(t,x) u_t(t,x) \, dx \, dt,$$

where the last equality is due to integration by parts (also note that $f'(\xi) = p(p-1)|\xi|^{p-2}$ if $f(\xi) = p|\xi|^{p-2}\xi$, $\xi \in \mathbb{R}$, $p \geq 2$). Observe that

$$(p^2 - p)|u_{x^i}|^{p-2} u_{x^i x^i} u_t \leq (p^2 - p)|u_{x^i x^i}||u_{x^i}|^{(p-2)/2}|u_{x^i}|^{(p-2)/2}|u_t|$$

$$\leq N(p) \left(|u_{x^i x^i}|^2 |u_{x^i}|^{p-2} + |u_{x^i}|^{p-2}|u_t|^2 \right)$$

$$\leq N(p) \left(|u_{x^i x^i}|^p + |u_{x^i}|^p + |u_{x^i}|^p + |u_t|^p \right).$$

Therefore,

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^d} |u_{x^i}(s,x)|^p \, dx \leq N \|u\|^p_{W^{1,2}_p((0,\infty)\times\mathbb{R}^d)}$$

for all $s \in [0,\infty)$. The lemma is proved. □

Lemma 5.5. *Let $1 \leq q < \infty$, $p \geq 2$, and $v \in C^\infty_{loc}(\mathbb{R}^{d+1})$ be a function such that $\mathfrak{L}_0 v = 0$ in Q_4. Then, for all $x' \in B'_1$,*

$$\|v(\cdot,x')\|_{L_{q,p}(\mathfrak{q}_2)} + \|v_x(\cdot,x')\|_{L_{q,p}(\mathfrak{q}_2)} + \|v_{xx'}(\cdot,x')\|_{L_{q,p}(\mathfrak{q}_2)} \leq N\|v\|_{L_p(Q_R)},$$

where $3 < R \leq 4$, $N = N(d,\delta,p,R)$, and, as we recall, for example, $\|v(\cdot,x')\|_{L_{q,p}(\mathfrak{q}_2)}$ is the $L_{q,p}$-norm of $v(t,x^1,x')$ as a function of (t,x^1) on $\mathfrak{q}_2 = (0,4)\times(-2,2)$.

Proof. We prove that, for each $x' \in B'_1$,

$$\|v(\cdot,x')\|_{L_{q,p}(\mathfrak{q}_2)} + \|v_{x^1}(\cdot,x')\|_{L_{q,p}(\mathfrak{q}_2)} \leq N\|v\|_{L_p(Q_\tau)}, \tag{9}$$

where $3 < \tau < R$ and $N = N(d,\delta,p,\tau)$. If this turns out to be true, then using this and the fact that $\mathfrak{L} v_{x'} = 0$ in Q_4 we obtain the inequality (9) with $v_{x'}$ in place of v. Furthermore, using $\mathfrak{L} v_{x'x'} = 0$ in Q_4, we also obtain the inequality (9) with $v_{x'x'}$ in place of v. Hence the left side of the inequality in the lemma is not greater than a constant times

$$\|v\|_{L_p(Q_\tau)} + \|v_{x'}\|_{L_p(Q_\tau)} + \|v_{x'x'}\|_{L_p(Q_\tau)}.$$

This and Lemma 5.3 finish the proof.

To prove (9), we introduce an infinitely differentiable function η defined on $\mathbb{R}^2$ such that

$$\eta(t,x^1) = \begin{cases} 1 & \text{on} \quad [0,4]\times[-2,2] \\ 0 & \text{on} \quad \mathbb{R}^2 \setminus \left[(-r^2,r^2)\times(-r,r)\right] \end{cases},$$

where $2 < r < 2\sqrt{2}$. For each $x' \in B_1'$, view ηv as a function of $(t, x^1) \in (0, \infty) \times \mathbb{R}$. Then by Lemma 5.4

$$\sup_{0 \le s < \infty} \|(\eta v)(s, \cdot, x')\|_{W_p^1(\mathbb{R})} \le N(p) \|(\eta v)(\cdot, x')\|_{W_p^{1,2}((0,\infty)\times\mathbb{R})} \tag{10}$$

for all $x' \in B_1'$. Note that the left-hand side of the inequality (9) is less than or equal to a constant times the left-hand side of the inequality (10). Moreover, the right-hand side of the inequality (10) is no greater than a constant times

$$\|v(\cdot, x')\|_{W_p^{1,2}(\mathfrak{q}_r)}. \tag{11}$$

for all $x' \in B_1'$. Now notice that there exists a constant N and an integer k such that, for each $(t, x^1) \in (0, r^2) \times (-r, r)$,

$$\sup_{x' \in B_1'} |v(t, x^1, x')| \le N \|v(t, x^1, \cdot)\|_{W_p^k(B_1')}.$$

This inequality remains true if we replace v with v_t, v_{x^1}, or $v_{x^1x^1}$. Hence, for all $x' \in B_1'$, the term (11) is not greater than a constant times

$$\left(\int_0^{r^2} \int_{-r}^{r} \|v_t(t, x^1, \cdot)\|^p_{W_p^k(B_1')} + \sum_{m=0}^{2} \|D^m_{x^1} v(t, x^1, \cdot)\|^p_{W_p^k(B_1')} \, dx^1 \, dt \right)^{1/p},$$

where $D^0_{x^1} v = v$, $D^1_{x^1} v = v_{x^1}$, and $D^2_{x^1} = v_{x^1x^1}$. We see that the above term is, by Lemma 5.3, less than or equal to a constant times the left-hand side of the inequality (9) (note that $\{(t, x^1, x') : t \in (0, r^2), x^1 \in (-r, r), |x'| < 1\} \subsetneq Q_\tau$). The lemma is proved. □

Below we use the following notation.

$$[f]_{\mu,\nu;Q_r} := \sup_{\substack{(t,x),(s,y) \in Q_r \\ (t,x) \ne (s,y)}} \frac{|f(t,x) - f(s,y)|}{|t-s|^\mu + |x-y|^\nu}.$$

Lemma 5.6. *Let* $p \ge 2$, $q > \max\{p, \frac{2p}{p-1}\}$ *(note that* $\frac{2}{q} < 1 - \frac{1}{p}$*), and* $\frac{2}{q} < \beta < 1 - \frac{1}{p}$. *Assume that* $v \in C^\infty_{loc}(\mathbb{R}^{d+1})$ *is a function such that* $\mathfrak{L}_0 v = 0$ *in* Q_4. *Then*

$$[v_{xx'}]_{\mu,\nu,Q_1} \le N(d, p, q, \delta, \beta) \|v\|_{L_p(Q_4)},$$

where $\mu = \frac{\beta}{2} - \frac{1}{q}$ *and* $\nu = 1 - \beta - \frac{1}{p}$.

Proof. First we note that $0 < \mu < 1$ and $0 < \nu < 1$. We prove

$$[v]_{\mu,\nu,Q_1} + [v_{x^1}]_{\mu,\nu,Q_1} \le N \|v\|_{L_p(Q_\tau)}, \tag{12}$$

where $3 < \tau < 4$. If this is done, we can finish the proof using the argument in the proof of Lemma 5.5 (i.e., use $\mathfrak{L} v_{x'} = 0$, $\mathfrak{L} v_{x'x'} = 0$, and Lemma 5.3).

To prove the inequality (12) it suffices to prove the following: for all $s, t \in (0,1)$ and $x' \in B'_1$,

$$\|v(t,\cdot,x') - v(s,\cdot,x')\|_{C^1(-1,1)} \le N|t-s|^{\mu}\|v\|_{L_p(Q_\tau)}, \tag{13}$$

$$\|v(t,\cdot,x')\|_{C^{1+\nu}(-1,1)} + \|v_{x'}(t,\cdot,x')\|_{C^1(-1,1)} \le N\|v\|_{L_p(Q_\tau)}, \tag{14}$$

where $v(t,x^1,x')$ is considered as a function of only $x^1 \in (-1,1)$. Indeed, observe that, for $(t,x),(s,y) \in Q_1$,

$$\begin{gathered}|v_{x^1}(t,x) - v_{x^1}(s,y)| \le |v_{x^1}(t,x^1,x') - v_{x^1}(t,y^1,x')| \\ + |v_{x^1}(t,y^1,x') - v_{x^1}(t,y^1,y')| + |v_{x^1}(t,y^1,y') - v_{x^1}(s,y^1,y')| \\ \le |x^1-y^1|^{\nu}\|v(t,\cdot,x')\|_{C^{1+\nu}(-1,1)} + \sup_{|z'|<1}\|v_{x'}(t,\cdot,z')\|_{C^1(-1,1)}|x'-y'| \\ + \|v(t,\cdot,y') - v(s,\cdot,y')\|_{C^1(-1,1)} \\ \le N\left(|x-y|^{\nu} + |t-s|^{\mu}\right)\|v\|_{L_p(Q_\tau)},\end{gathered}$$

where the last inequality is due to (13) and (14). This proves

$$[v_{x^1}]_{\mu,\nu,Q_1} \le N\|v\|_{L_p(Q_\tau)}.$$

Similarly, from (13) and (14) we obtain

$$[v]_{\mu,\nu,Q_1} \le N\|v\|_{L_p(Q_\tau)}.$$

Now we prove the inequalities (13) and (14). Let η be an infinitely differentiable function defined on $\mathbb{R}^2$ such that

$$\eta(t,x^1) = \begin{cases} 1 & \text{on} \quad [0,1]\times[-1,1] \\ 0 & \text{on} \quad \mathbb{R}^2 \setminus (-4,4)\times(-2,2) \end{cases}.$$

Also let

$$g(t,x^1,x') = -\sum_{i\neq 1 \text{ or } j \neq 1} a^{ij}(t,x^1)v_{x^ix^j}(t,x^1,x'),$$

so that

$$v_t + a^{11}(x^1)v_{x^1x^1} = g$$

Then

$$(\eta v)_t + a^{11}(x^1)(\eta v)_{x^1x^1} = \eta g + 2a^{11}\eta_{x^1}v_{x^1} + (\eta_t + a^{11}\eta_{x^1x^1})v.$$

For each $x' \in B'_1$, consider ηv as a function of $(t,x^1) \in (0,\infty)\times\mathbb{R}$. Then by Theorem 3.2 (note that $\eta v = 0$ for $t \ge 4$), we have

$$\|\eta v\|_{W^{1,2}_{q,p}((0,\infty)\times\mathbb{R})} \le N\|\eta g + 2a^{11}\eta_{x^1}v_{x^1} + (\eta_t + a^{11}\eta_{x^1x^1})v\|_{L_{q,p}((0,\infty)\times\mathbb{R})},$$

where $N = N(\delta,p,q)$. We see that, for each $x' \in B'_1$, the right hand side of the above inequality is not greater than a constant times

$$\|v(\cdot,x')\|_{L_{q,p}(\mathfrak{q}_2)} + \|v_x(\cdot,x')\|_{L_{q,p}(\mathfrak{q}_2)} + \|v_{xx'}(\cdot,x')\|_{L_{q,p}(\mathfrak{q}_2)},$$

which is, by Lemma 5.5, less than or equal to a constant times

$$\|v\|_{L_p(Q_r)},$$

where $3 < r < \tau$. Hence

$$\|(\eta v)(\cdot, x')\|_{W^{1,2}_{q,p}((0,\infty)\times\mathbb{R})} \le N\|v\|_{L_p(Q_r)} \tag{15}$$

for all $x' \in B'_1$. Again we view ηv as a function of $(t, x^1) \in (0,\infty)\times\mathbb{R}$. Then by Theorem 7.3 in [6]

$$\|(\eta v)(t,\cdot,x') - (\eta v)(s,\cdot,x')\|_{H^{2-\beta}_p(\mathbb{R})} \le N|t-s|^{\mu}\|(\eta v)(\cdot,x')\|_{W^{1,2}_{q,p}((0,\infty)\times\mathbb{R})} \tag{16}$$

for each $x' \in B'_1$, where N is independent of s, t, and ηv. Using an embedding theorem, we have

$$\begin{aligned}&\|(\eta v)(t,\cdot,x') - (\eta v)(s,\cdot,x')\|_{C^{1+\nu}(\mathbb{R})}\\ &\le N\|(\eta v)(t,\cdot,x') - (\eta v)(s,\cdot,x')\|_{H^{2-\beta}_p(\mathbb{R})},\end{aligned}$$

where, as noted earlier, $\nu = 1-\beta-1/p$. From this, (15), and (16), we finally have

$$\|(\eta v)(t,\cdot,x') - (\eta v)(s,\cdot,x')\|_{C^{1+\nu}(\mathbb{R})} \le N|t-s|^{\mu}\|v\|_{L_p(Q_r)}$$

for all $x' \in B'_1$. This proves (13). Now by setting $s = 4$ in the above inequality, we obtain

$$\|v(t,\cdot,x')\|_{C^{1+\nu}(-1,1)} \le N\|v\|_{L_p(Q_r)}. \tag{17}$$

Then using the above inequality and the fact that $\mathfrak{L}v_{x'} = 0$ in Q_4, we have

$$\|v_{x'}(t,\cdot,x')\|_{C^{1+\nu}(-1,1)} \le N\|v_{x'}\|_{L_p(Q_r)}.$$

This and (17) along with Lemma 5.3 prove (14) (recall that $3 < r < \tau$). The lemma is proved. □

Lemma 3.7 and 3.8 in section 3 are repeated below, but since the operator $\mathfrak{L}_\lambda$ is being dealt with, the lemmas have to be modified as follows.

Lemma 5.7. *Let $p \ge 2$, $q > \max\{p, \frac{2p}{p-1}\}$, and $\frac{2}{q} < \beta < 1 - \frac{1}{p}$. For every $v \in C^\infty_{loc}(\mathbb{R}^{d+1})$ such that $\mathfrak{L}_\lambda v = 0$ in Q_4, we have*

$$[v_{xx'}]_{\mu,\nu,Q_1} \le N\left(\|v_{xx}\|_{L_p(Q_4)} + \|v_t\|_{L_p(Q_4)} + \sqrt{\lambda}\|v_x\|_{L_p(Q_4)}\right),$$

where $\mu = \frac{\beta}{2} - \frac{1}{q}$, $\nu = 1-\beta-\frac{1}{p}$, and $N = N(d,p,q,\delta,\beta)$.

Proof. We follow the steps in the proof of Lemma 3.7, but the sup-norms of the derivatives of v on Q_1 have to be replaced by $[v_{xx'}]_{\mu,\nu,Q_1}$. □

Lemma 5.8. *Let $p \geq 2$, $\lambda \geq 0$, $\kappa \geq 4$, and $r \in (0,\infty)$. Let $v \in C^{\infty}_{loc}(\mathbb{R}^{d+1})$ be such that $\mathfrak{L}_\lambda v = 0$ in $Q_{\kappa r}$. Then there is a constant N, depending only on d, p, and δ, such that*

$$\fint_{Q_r} |v_{xx'}(t,x) - (v_{xx'})_{Q_r}|^p \, dx\, dt \leq N\kappa^{-\nu p} \left(|v_{xx}|^p + |v_t|^p + \lambda^{p/2}|v_x|^p\right)_{Q_{\kappa r}}, \tag{18}$$

where $\nu = 1/2 - 3/(4p)$.

Proof. We use Lemma 5.7 with $q = 4p$ and $\beta = 1/2 - 1/(4p)$. Thus $2\mu = \nu = 1/2 - 3/(4p)$. Note that

$$\fint_{Q_1} |v_{xx'}(t,x) - (v_{xx'})_{Q_1}|^p \, dx\, dt \leq N[v_{xx'}]^p_{\mu,\nu,Q_1}$$

and

$$[\check{v}_{xx'}]_{\mu,\nu,Q_1} = \left(\frac{\kappa}{4}\right)^{\nu+2} [v_{xx'}]_{\mu,\nu,Q_{\kappa/4}} \quad \text{if} \quad \check{v}(t,x) = v\left(\left(\frac{\kappa}{4}\right)^2 t, \, \frac{\kappa}{4}x\right).$$

Using these as well as the argument in the proof of Lemma 3.8, one can complete the proof. □

Now we arrive at the following theorem, the proof of which is almost identical to that of Theorem 3.9.

Theorem 5.9. *Let $p \geq 2$. Then there is a constant N, depending only on d, p, and δ, such that, for any $u \in W^{1,2}_p(\mathbb{R}^{d+1})$, $r \in (0,\infty)$, and $\kappa \geq 8$,*

$$\fint_{Q_r} |u_{xx'}(t,x) - (u_{xx'})_{Q_r}|^p \, dx\, dt \leq N\kappa^{d+2} \left(|\mathfrak{L}_0 u|^p\right)_{Q_{\kappa r}} + N\kappa^{-\nu p} \left(|u_{xx}|^p\right)_{Q_{\kappa r}},$$

where $\nu = 1/2 - 3/(4p)$.

6. Proof of Theorem 2.3

In this section, as in Theorem 2.3, the coefficients of

$$Lu = u_t + a^{ij}(t,x)u_{x^i x^j} + b^i u_{x^i} + cu$$

satisfy Assumption 2.1 and 2.2. Especially, the coefficients $a^{ij}(t,x)$ are independent of $x' \in \mathbb{R}^{d-1}$ if $p = 2$. Set

$$L_0 u = u_t + a^{ij}(t,x)u_{x^i x^j}.$$

As noted earlier, due to Theorem 3.2 in this paper, the results in [3] are now available. This implies that, by the same reasoning as in the proof of Lemma 4.1, the inequalities in Lemma 4.1 are possible with L_0 defined above. Then using the results in section 5 and repeating the proof of Theorem 4.2 (with necessary changes), we obtain

Theorem 6.1. *Let $p \geq 2$. In case $p = 2$, we assume that the coefficients $a^{ij}(t,x)$ of L_0 are independent of $x' \in \mathbb{R}^{d-1}$. Then there exists a constant N, depending on d, p, δ, and the function ω, such that, for any $u \in C_0^\infty(\mathbb{R}^{d+1})$, $\kappa \geq 16$, and $r \in (0, 1/\kappa]$, we have*

$$\fint_{Q_r} |u_{xx'}(t,x) - (u_{xx'})_{Q_r}|^p \, dx \, dt$$

$$\leq N\kappa^{d+2} \left(|L_0 u|^p\right)_{Q_{\kappa r}} + N \left(\kappa^{-\nu p} + \kappa^{d+2} (a^{\#}_{\kappa r})^{1/2}\right) \left(|u_{xx}|^p\right)_{Q_{\kappa r}},$$

where $\nu = 1/2 - 3/(4p)$

In the following we state corollaries and a lemma corresponding to Corollary 4.3, Lemma 4.4, and Corollary 4.5. Since we are dealing with a^{ij} different from those in section 4, we have different statements.

Corollary 6.2. *Let $p \geq 2$. In case $p = 2$, we assume that the coefficients $a^{ij}(t,x)$ of L_0 are independent of $x' \in \mathbb{R}^{d-1}$. Then there exists a constant N, depending on d, p, δ, and the function ω, such that, for any $u \in C_0^\infty(\mathbb{R}^{d+1})$, $\kappa \geq 16$, and $r \in (0, 1/\kappa]$, we have*

$$\fint_{(0,r^2)} \left|\varphi(t) - (\varphi)_{(0,r^2)}\right|^p \, dt$$

$$\leq N\kappa^{d+2} (\psi^p)_{(0,(\kappa r)^2)} + N \left(\kappa^{-\nu p} + \kappa^{d+2} (a^{\#}_{\kappa r})^{1/2}\right) (\zeta^p)_{(0,(\kappa r)^2)},$$

where $\nu = 1/2 - 3/(4p)$,

$$\varphi(t) = \|u_{xx'}(t,\cdot)\|_{L_p(\mathbb{R}^d)},$$

$$\zeta(t) = \|u_{xx}(t,\cdot)\|_{L_p(\mathbb{R}^d)}, \quad \psi(t) = \|L_0 u(t,\cdot)\|_{L_p(\mathbb{R}^d)}.$$

Lemma 6.3. *Let $p \geq 2$. In case $p = 2$, we assume that the coefficients $a^{ij}(t,x)$ of L_0 are independent of $x' \in \mathbb{R}^{d-1}$. Let $R \in (0,1]$ and u be a function in $C_0^\infty(\mathbb{R}^{d+1})$ such that $u(t,x) = 0$ for $t \notin (0, R^4)$. Then*

$$\varphi^{\#}(t_0) \leq N\kappa^{(d+2)/p} \left(M\psi^p(t_0)\right)^{1/p}$$

$$+ N \left((\kappa R)^{2-2/p} + \kappa^{-\nu} + \kappa^{(d+2)/p} \left(\omega(R)\right)^{1/2p}\right) \left(M\zeta^p(t_0)\right)^{1/p}$$

for all $\kappa \geq 16$ and $t_0 \in \mathbb{R}$, where $\nu = 1/2 - 3/(4p)$, $N = N(d,p,\delta,\omega)$, and the functions φ, ζ, ψ are defined as in Corollary 6.2.

The proof of the next corollary clearly shows the necessity of the result for the case with $a^{ij}(t,x)$ measurable in $x^1 \in \mathbb{R}$ and VMO in $(t,x') \in \mathbb{R}^d$ (Theorem 3.2, specifically, Corollary 4.5).

Corollary 6.4. *Let $q > p \geq 2$. Assume that, in case $p = 2$, the coefficients a^{ij} of L_0 are independent of $x' \in \mathbb{R}^{d-1}$. Then there exists $R = R(d, p, q, \delta, \omega)$ such that, for any $u \in C_0^\infty(\mathbb{R}^{d+1})$ satisfying $u(t, x) = 0$ for $t \notin (0, R^4)$,*

$$\|u_t\|_{L_{q,p}} + \|u_{xx}\|_{L_{q,p}} \leq N \|L_0 u\|_{L_{q,p}},$$

where $N = N(d, p, q, \delta, \omega)$.

Proof. As is seen in Corollary 4.5, from Lemma 6.3, we obtain

$$\|u_{xx'}\|_{L_{q,p}} \leq N \kappa^{(d+2)/p} \|L_0 u\|_{L_{q,p}}$$
$$+ N \left((\kappa R)^{2-2/p} + \kappa^{-\nu} + \kappa^{(d+2)/p} \left(\omega(R)\right)^{1/2p} \right) \|u_{xx}\|_{L_{q,p}}$$

for all $\kappa \geq 16$ and $R \in (0, 1]$, where $\nu = 1/2 - 3/(4p)$. To obtain an estimate for $u_{x^1x^1}$, we set

$$g = L_0 u + \Delta_{d-1} u - \sum_{i \neq 1, j \neq 1} a^{ij} u_{x^i x^j},$$

where $\Delta_{d-1} u = \sum_{i=2}^d u_{x^i x^i}$. Then

$$L_1 u := u_t + a^{11} u_{x^1 x^1} + \Delta_{d-1} u = g$$

and the operator L_1 satisfies the assumptions in Corollary 4.5. Thus there exist $R_1 = R_1(d, p, q, \delta, \omega)$ and $N = N(d, p, q, \delta, \omega)$ such that

$$\|u_{x^1 x^1}\|_{L_{q,p}} \leq N \|g\|_{L_{q,p}} \leq N \left(\|L_0 u\|_{L_{q,p}} + \|u_{xx'}\|_{L_{q,p}} \right)$$

for all $u \in C_0^\infty(\mathbb{R}^{d+1})$ such that $u(t, x) = 0$ for $t \notin (0, R_1^4) \times \mathbb{R}^d$. From this together with the estimate for $\|u_{xx'}\|_{L_{q,p}}$ above, we have

$$\|u_{xx}\|_{L_{q,p}} \leq N \kappa^{(d+2)/p} \|L_0 u\|_{L_{q,p}}$$
$$+ N \left((\kappa R)^{2-2/p} + \kappa^{-\nu} + \kappa^{(d+2)/p} \left(\omega(R)\right)^{1/2p} \right) \|u_{xx}\|_{L_{q,p}}$$

if $u(t, x) = 0$ for $t \notin (0, \min\{R^4, R_1^4\})$. Now we choose a large κ and then a small R (smaller than R_1) such that

$$N \left((\kappa R)^{2-2/p} + \kappa^{-\nu} + \kappa^{(d+2)/p} \left(\omega(R)\right)^{1/2p} \right) < 1/2$$

(note that $\nu > 0$). Then we have

$$\|u_{xx}\|_{L_{q,p}} \leq 2N \kappa^{(d+2)/p} \|L_0 u\|_{L_{q,p}}.$$

Finally, notice that

$$\|u_t\|_{L_{q,p}} = \|L_0 u - a^{ij} u_{x^i x^j}\|_{L_{q,p}} \leq \|L_0 u\|_{L_{q,p}} + N \|u_{xx}\|_{L_{q,p}}.$$

The corollary is now proved. □

As in section 4, using the $L_{q,p}$-estimate proved above for functions with compact support with respect to $t \in \mathbb{R}$ and following the proofs in section 3 in [9], we complete the proof of Theorem 2.3.

References

[1] P. Buonocore and P. Manselli. Solutions to two dimensional, uniformly elliptic equations, that lie in Sobolev spaces and have compact support. *Rend. Circ. Mat. Palermo (2)*, 51(3):476–484, 2002.

[2] Robert Haller-Dintelmann, Horst Heck, and Matthias Hieber. L^p-L^q estimates for parabolic systems in non-divergence form with VMO coefficients. *J. London Math. Soc. (2)*, 74(3):717–736, 2006.

[3] Doyoon Kim. Parabolic equations with measurable coefficients II. *J. Math. Anal. Appl.*, doi:10.1016/j.jmaa.2006.12.077, 2007.

[4] Doyoon Kim and N.V. Krylov. Elliptic differential equations with coefficients measurable with respect to one variable and VMO with respect to the others. *to appear in SIAM J. Math. Anal.*

[5] Doyoon Kim and N.V. Krylov. Parabolic equations with measurable coefficients. *Potential Analysis*, doi:10.1007/s11118-007-9042-8, 2007.

[6] N. V. Krylov. Some properties of traces for stochastic and deterministic parabolic weighted Sobolev spaces. *J. Funct. Anal.*, 183(1):1–41, 2001.

[7] N. V. Krylov. Parabolic equations in L_p-spaces with mixed norms. *Algebra i Analiz*, 14(4):91–106, 2002.

[8] N. V. Krylov. Parabolic and elliptic equations with VMO coefficients. *Comm. Partial Differential Equations*, 32(3):453 – 475, 2007.

[9] N. V. Krylov. Parabolic equations withVMO coefficients in spaces with mixed norms. *to appear in J. Funct. Anal.*, http://arxiv.org/abs/math/0610955, 2007.

[10] L. Lamberti and P. Manselli. Existence-uniqueness theorems and counterexamples for an axially symmetric elliptic operator. *Boll. Un. Mat. Ital. B (6)*, 2(2):431–443, 1983.

[11] P. Manselli. On the range of elliptic, second order, nonvariational operators in Sobolev spaces. *Ann. Mat. Pura Appl. (4)*, 178:67–80, 2000.

[12] Paolo Manselli. A nonexistence and nonuniqueness example in Sobolev spaces for elliptic equations in nondivergence form. *Boll. Un. Mat. Ital. A (5)*, 17(2):302–306, 1980.

[13] Lubomira G. Softova and Peter Weidemaier. Quasilinear parabolic problem in spaces of maximal regularity. *J. Nonlinear Convex Anal.*, 7(3):529–540, 2006.

Department of Mathematics and Statistics, University of Ottawa,
585 King Edward Avenue, Ottawa, Ontario K1N 6N5 Canada
E-mail address: `kdoyoon@uottawa.ca`